**NY-603 Governance Board Meeting Minutes**

**March 20, 2020**

**10:30 AM – 11:45 AM**

**Webex Call**

General Announcements

* Wishing everyone well!
	+ How can we help?
	+ How can we help those on the street?

Governance Board Charter Review

* Outline and voting processes in the CoC in GB Charter
* Language should include written examples of annual/regular anticipated votes that can be carried out by GB, such as funding round guiding principles.

2020 Funding round

* Order of Project Rank:
	+ PSH above RRH projects in local ranking process for ranked renewals (already established)
	+ Should this be decision be carried over to the rank of renewal projects we do not have data to rank?
	+ How are we ordering the new projects not yet in operation?
	+ How are we ordering new project applicants?
* Re: Regional Gaps Analysis Measure- All projects in CoC meet regional gaps
* There are 3 projects in CoC that were funded in 2018 and are now renewal projects but have not been in operation long enough to have data to rank for 2020 funding round
* 2019 CoC Funding Round Tier 1/Tier 2 announcements – new and expansion PSH projects that will be renewal applications for 2020 funding round but have not yet began operating
* Two options discussed based on what the CoC/RC has done in the past:
	+ Rank project based on what we can (measure of population served) OR not rank them at all and determine where they should be positioned
* Rank renewals over new programs
	+ Any of the renewals and the ones that were just rewarded
* Placing based on objective criteria that can be measured (program model/pop served)
* How are new applicants to be ranked?
* Ranking Committee is in the process of making a robust and detailed scorecard that breaks down various points (specifically to new PSH and DV Bonus projects and compare them to one another as new applicants- new PSH compared to new PSH, new DV Bonus compared to new DV Bonus)
* Suggestions:
	+ If we don’t know enough about projects (performance data) it would make sense for us rank them below the Tier 1 renewals that have demonstrated performance
	+ Following the decision to rank PSH over RRH projects
	+ Consider the degree to which new projects meet regional needs
* Proposal to rank renewals without ranking data based on regional needs (see attached voting language and response form) – to be voted on by GB via email.

ESSHI Referral Coordination

* How do we define what “participation” means for ESSHI participants?
* Program operation steps
	+ Clear list of which projects are receiving ESSHI funding
	+ CES to identify target population to be served
	+ Eligibility of those projects
	+ Specific applications / forms need to be completed for admission for those projects
* Suggestions:
	+ Let CES team know about open vacancies (ESSHI recipients)

Housing First Standard Suggestions & CoC Prioritization Order

* + We should share our HF literature with them and checklist
	+ Encouragement to comply to the extent that they can (best practice)
	+ Outline OTDA definition, CoC Housing First definition, checklist, and have an encouragement within the CoC to operate within the model as able
* Suggestions:
	+ Referrals through CES, housing providers can maintain a wait list to fill the vacancies and can work with CES to identify who those households are
	+ Housing provider should have the opportunity to house the household that is the best fit for that unit

Next Governance Board meeting will be held on April 17 and will begin with resuming conversations related to ESSHI-funded program participation in CES.