**NY-603 Governance Board Meeting Minutes**

**December 16, 2022**

 **Zoom**

**Recording**: <https://youtu.be/tF2e7Hz-XDE>

**Attendees listed at end of document**

* **CoC Monitoring Planning (PowerPoint in GB Agendas folder)**
	+ Several members of the group agreed with prioritizing new programs and TH-RRH programs for monitoring.
	+ Will there be a monitoring committee?
		- In the past, organizations were not comfortable monitoring other organizations.
		- A team approach can be useful, even if it is limited to setting monitoring proprieties and developing tools.
	+ Collecting survey from program participants
		- Surveys are one way to get feedback, focus groups are another way
		- Focus groups appear to be a best practice, but requires more resources (staff capacity, compensation for participants)
		- Focus groups could work for gathering information on group experiences of a program model and are not agency specific.
		- There could be an issue of lack of trust in a focus group with a surveyor if the participant feels that their housing could be put at risk. A survey can be much more anonymous and questions can be reviewed by participants in advance.
		- Focus groups often require follow-up and will likely be less consistent between groups.
		- Feedback can be collected from people with lived experience who are not involved in the specific program by reviewing documents and policies of agencies that run a specific program model (ie enrollment agreement).
		- Feedback of program participants is not required by HUD but can be a big part of the monitoring process
	+ Funding for monitoring can come from CoC Planning allocation
	+ CE is usually monitored by outside consulting agency, such as HUD technical assistance, and/or group of PLE
		- Suggestion: Contract HUD TA for CE monitoring and organizations that use CE can also be a part of the process
	+ Timeline for monitoring
		- There has to be a specific monitoring effort annually, but CoC’s often do not monitor all CoC programs every year
		- Over time, all programs should be monitored but there is not specific guidance from HUD on what that has to look like
		- It will take at least 3 months to develop a monitoring process. CoC planning staff will circle back with a proposed timeline in the January meeting.
		- For new programs, a two part process can be offered, technical support during start up and monitoring at early stages of program operation.
	+ Prioritizing organizations for monitoring
		- Suggestion: more comprehensive monitoring for “at-risk” agencies, monitoring lite for “low risk” (forms, survey, some information from them we can look at)
		- Ranking criteria changes year to year but rank could be a measure of risk
		- Some regions have policy that Tier 2 projects must be monitored and/or had project for multiple years ranked in Tier 2
		- Suggestion: prioritize new programs & those that scored poorly in ranking
			* Group discussions for “low risk” can be offered as guidance but with lesser time commitment
	+ Some programs not identified as at-risk might still need support (ie new staff, other issues unknown broadly)
	+ Optional vs. required? Every program has to be monitored eventually and might be incentivized to participate on that basis.
	+ Monitoring tool
		- TAC has developed monitoring toolkit & process
* **Looking ahead**
	+ Governance Board charter – a draft charter was received from ICF. It needs to be reviewed, approved, and adopted.
	+ Mentorship of new Governance Board members – in preparation for election of new GB members, a mentorship program can be put in place to provide ongoing support
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