

2024 CoC Ranking Committee Members- Deirdre Trumpy, Justin Hornung, Terri Tupper

Amended 10/18/2024

- The Ranking committee reviewed each application for accuracy and completeness.
- Final scores were compiled for each program to compare projects with different total points available.

Review and Rank of Renewal Projects

- The committee discussed the placement of renewal projects and the rationale for their placement.
- Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) renewal projects were prioritized and ranked above others, protected in Tier 1, aligned with Governance Board guiding principle to prioritize PSH.
- Rapid Rehousing (RRH) renewal projects were ranked below all renewal PSH, with the exception of PSH submitted late. This resulted in the highest performing RRH projects being ranked in Tier 1 and other RRH projects ranked in Tier 2.
- Some PSH renewals (3) were submitted late/incomplete and were penalized and placed at the bottom of Tier 1 as a result.
- SEPA Ayuda Latina was placed at the bottom of all renewal and new projects as a result of reducing the CoC's ARD and thus amount of protected funding.
- Family Service League's budget was adjusted to ensure that a feasible amount of funding in order to continue operations of this project was above the Tier 1 line, in order to protect more CoC funds/maximize funding in Tier 1 and not risk more funding in Tier 2. As an example, and rule of thumb, if 50% of a funding request or less is protected, it is likely that the project will not be able to sustain. In this case, closer to only 20% of this project would have been protected in Tier 1 and this applicant also had to apply for more funding (expansion) as they had insufficient funds to operate their existing contract and had inquired whether they could sub-contract with another entity to have funding to serve all existing participating households through their full grant term. If not funded, and the project could not feasibly operate, the remaining funds in Tier 1 would have been forfeited back to HUD and lost to the region in that funding round, as well as all subsequent funding rounds, with a reduction in future ARD amount and any bonus funds as a calculated percentage of ARD.
- The committee discussed the importance of preserving existing programs versus funding new potential programs.

- The committee considered the impact of losing existing programs and the potential benefits of new programs.

Review and Rank of New Projects

- The significance of fixed permanent housing units in new projects was highlighted.

- The committee decided to prioritize certain new projects that add significant capacity to the CoC.

- Three new projects were identified for protection in Tier 1: Well Life, Concern Estella, and CE expansion. These projects were placed in Tier 1 based on their percentage score in comparison to renewal project scores.

- DV bonus projects were placed at the bottom of Tier 2, following precedent and strategy.

- All other new applications were placed at the bottom of Tier 2 in score order.

- The committee aimed to balance the risk of losing existing programs with the potential benefits of new projects.